

discussion about which option did and did not include the 10-year reserve.] Councilor Webber stated, please put our options up there. Without, my motion is for alternative 3.

Councilor Riker seconded the motion. Mayor Fowler asked if further discussion was needed on the motion. Seeing none, he called for a vote.

RESOLUTION NO. 6049

It was moved by Councilor Webber and seconded by Councilor Riker that Resolution No. 6049 be adopted with alternative 3 and the vote resulted as follows: "AYES" Morgan, DeYoung, Riker, Hannum, Webber and Williams. "NAYS" Gatlin and Goodwin. Abstain: None. Absent: None. The resolution is adopted.

- e. Motion providing direction to staff on the extent of rezones to consider for preliminary draft UGB planning alternatives.

Senior Planner Schauer stated, the next question is if we're going to come back with some proposals for you. Do you want us to look at some re-zoning of lands inside the UGB that will affect the allocations of high and low-density and spread that out throughout the whole urban area, rather than just the expansion areas and that would affect the allocation of the. If you look at a combined with option 3, UGB and urban reserve, how much of that future need ends up being in the UGB versus the urbanization area? Do you have any direction that you want to maximize that or not at this point, so we can start work on it. If not, you can give us direction at a future time and we won't move forward with that until we have direction from you.

Mayor Fowler stated, thank you Senior Planner Schauer Does Council want to offer direction on that?

Councilor Morgan stated, I will make a motion that Staff be directed to re-zone the preliminary draft to spread it out in the alternatives, so the up-zoning option.

Mayor Fowler asks, do I have a second. Okay Councilor Riker seconded the motion. Is there need for further discussion?

Councilor Webber asked, just for clarification, are you talking about taking some of the existing land that was single-family dwellings and when you say 'up-zoning' are you talking about turning those into apartments and condominiums so that we can up-zone? Is that what you mean by the phrase "up-zone?" Senior Planner Schauer stated, what we are looking at is that in the areas inside the urban growth boundary where you have a lot of available vacant lands that are zoned for lower density where -- not all of them in areas where they are near commercial areas or major service areas, we would look at up-

zoning that from low-density to moderate, and moderate up to high. doing some of those types of things. If you've got low-density right near a major intersection, maybe that gets re-zoned significantly We're not talking about re-zoning, what we're doing is trying to narrow the land-use options that we bring back to you look at and whether that includes more towards the upper end of re-zoning some of those or not.

Councilor Morgan asked, what is Staff's recommendation on this?

City Manager Cubic stated, Staff's recommendation is to spread it across the whole area. From an efficiency standpoint, if we had to focus on having that higher density zoning just in the outskirts from an efficiency standpoint and delivery of services – it is not as efficient as if we were able to spread it across the whole area.

Seeing no further questions or comments on the motion, Mayor Fowler called for a vote.

MOTION

It was moved by Councilor Morgan and seconded by Councilor Riker that Staff be directed to re-zone the preliminary draft to up-zone throughout the alternative areas and the vote resulted as follows: "AYES" Morgan, DeYoung, Riker, Gatlin, Hannum, Goodwin, Webber and Williams. "NAYS" None. Abstain: None. Absent: None. The motion has passed.

3. REQUESTS FROM CITIZENS:

Dale Matthews (Grants Pass) stated, there is news that about a month ago there was a meeting at the Rotary Club which the County Commissioners had advertised as an open public meeting and there were a couple of us who were asked to leave because the Rotary Club decided it was not an open public meeting. This was prompted by Commissioner Walker. In the meantime there has been news that two of the Commissioners decided they are not going to do that kind of thing anymore where there is a quorum and they could be questioned about it. They did not come right out and say it but they were fairly apologetic about the idea that it was advertised as a public meeting and then one of the Commissioners suddenly decided it was no longer a public meeting. We have spoken with the attorney general's office -- City Attorney Bartholomew and we have also talked with several lawyers outside of the area. Every one of them unanimously said there was something wrong here because it was a public meeting that was advertised and there was a quorum, and there were questions and answers taken by the Commissioners. They listened to people's input and evaluated it. Now you may recall City Attorney Bartholomew while we were talking about this he showed some concern about the item and just before we walked out the door he had been flipping through pages for a minute or so and then said he had talked with a couple of people who were there and was convinced it wasn't an open public meeting. Really? Suddenly now all it takes is a couple people from Rotary Club to say that it is not a public meeting and they can override