

**URBAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION**  
**Meeting Minutes – June 9, 2021 at 6:00 P.M.**  
**Council Chambers**

**COMMISSIONERS:**

Eric Heesacker (Acting Chair)  
Mark Collier (Vice Chair)  
Loree Arthur  
Jennifer Aviles – Late  
Susan Tokarz-Krauss – Absent  
L. Ward Nelson  
Clint Scherf – Absent  
Vacant

**City/Staff/Council Liaisons:**

Bradley Clark, (CD Director)  
Jason Maki (Assistant Planner)  
Donna Rupp (Associate Planner)  
Ryan Nolan (Contract Principal Planner)  
Gabby Sinagra (Assistant Planner)

**Guests:**

**At the start of this meeting, there was no quorum. The quorum was established once Commissioner Aviles arrived at approximately 6:06 PM. See the official start of the meeting on page 3.**

It is 6:02 on June 9th, 6:02 PM. I'm going to go ahead and start the meeting with roll call.

Eric Heesacker's here. Commissioner Arthur?

I'm here.

Commissioner Nelson?

Present.

Commissioner Collier?

Here.

Commissioner Tokarz-Krauss said she'd be absent.

Commissioner Aviles is not here.

Commissioner Scherf, is not here.

We have four Commissioners; we have a quorum.

We don't have anything for introductions. Nobody else is online. I see no reason to go through public comment and we'll go right down to agenda item 4A, approval of minutes. Is there any amendments to those minutes?

We have two sets. Remember one is from the last meeting we had and then the next set of minutes are from the meeting we had two days later to adopt the findings of fact.

I looked over the minutes of 5/26. I will make a motion to approve the minutes of 5/26, pages one through 20.

Collier-

Nelson second.

Collier makes a motion, Commissioner Nelson seconds. All those in favor of approval of those minutes, signify by saying aye.

Aye.

Anybody opposed? Vote is four to nothing to approve those minutes.

Next set of minutes. Those were from two days later, the findings of facts for the adult-use ordinance. Is there any changes or a motion maybe?

I wasn't at the meeting, but I understand what the findings of facts were [crosstalk 00:01:44] and therefore I would move approval of the findings of facts.

Do we have a second?

Second, Collier.

Commissioner Nelson made the motion to approve the minutes, Commissioner Collier seconded it. All those in favor, say aye.

Aye.

Anybody opposed? Those minutes also pass four to zero.

Informational items, I'm not aware of any for item five. That brings us to item six. Findings of fact for the River Mist Planned Unit Development. Is there any discussion of those findings before we make a motion?

I would move approval or the findings of facts for River Mist.

Commissioner Nelson moves for approval, do we have a second?

I second.

Commissioner Arthur seconds. Any discussion? All right. All those in favor of approving the River Mist PUD findings of fact signify by saying aye.

Aye.

Anybody opposed? Those findings of fact are adopted to four to zero.

There are no public hearings tonight. We move on to item number eight for a vice-chair election. I guess I should start by asking for any nominations?

Can I just a point of order?

Sure.

You're actually acting vice-chair unless we do something otherwise. Have we done something otherwise?

Not yet.

I'd like to make a motion to elevate vice-chair Heesacker to chair of the urban area planning Commission.

I would second that motion, Nelson.

Collier has made a motion to make me chair of the Commission instead of vice-chair at this time. Commissioner Nelson seconded it. All those in favor say aye.

You don't want to discuss it?

Is there any discussion?

No. I just wanted to check.

And I seconded that check. There is no discussion. All those in favor, please say aye.

Aye.

All those opposed? That motion passes.

**Official start of meeting at 6:06 PM .....**

Hi there Commissioner Aviles.

Okay we'll move on to-

Hello.

To nominations of vice-chair. [crosstalk 00:04:09].

On the agenda?

We're on item eight.

Do we have any motions for vice-chair? Commissioner Nelson?

It would be my honor to nominate my esteemed colleague, Mr. Collier for vice-chair.

Vice-chair has been nominated by Commissioner Nelson in the form of Mark Collier. Do we have a second?

I second. Arthur seconds.

Arthur seconds. Any discussion?

Are there any further nominations?

Thank you for that. Are there any further nominations? Is there any discussion?

We have a motion on the floor with a second for Commissioner Collier to be vice-chair. All those in favor, say aye.

Aye.

Anybody opposed? That motion passes five to zero. Are you abstaining or did you vote yes Commissioner Aviles? You said aye okay. It's unanimous.

#### **MOTION/VOTE**

**Commissioner Nelson moved and Commissioner Arthur seconded the motion to appoint Commissioner Collier as Vice Chair. The vote resulted as follows: "AYES": Vice Chair Heesacker, Commissioners Arthur, Aviles, Collier and Nelson. "NAYS": None. Abstain: None. Absent: Commissioners Scherf and Tokarz-Krauss. The motion passed.**

Congratulations vice-chair Collier.

And to you.

Thank you, sir.

Okay. Is there anybody, before I adjourn, that would like to bring anything up before the Commission? Commissioner Aviles.

Thank you.

I had something I wanted to talk to staff about. I was looking at the DLCD (Department of Land Conservation and Development) website and I was looking at their community involvement advisory committee subsection that they have. I believe that their chair of that committee is actually the same person who is doing our 2001 and 2003 work a part of 3J Consulting. Is that accurate or is it 3S Consulting?

3J.

3J. There we go. Great.

I just wanted to talk about something that they have on the website. It's called their Public Involvement Process Checklist. Do you happen to be familiar with that Mr. Clark? It's okay if it's a no.

I'm not.

Okay, yeah. I guess my question for anyone else is, is anyone else familiar with any of this information or that committee that is advisory to the Commission and to the department at the DLCD?

I'm sorry. I couldn't even hear whether... I've got a bad cold. I'm sorry.

Okay. Should I back up?

Sure.

Slowly.

Thank you. We all know that number one land use goal is community involvement, right?

Yes. Goal one.

Yes. Goal one. We all know that right? Great. In speaking to that, I wanted to talk about some of the issues I see with some of the work we're doing in terms of access to community involvement. Whether it's language, or disability, or whatever.

There's the department of land and conservation. They have a Community Involvement Advisory Committee, CIAC. Now that committee is advisory to their Commission, to the DLCD's Commission, as well as the department.

Now they've created a Public Involvement Process Checklist. When I review this checklist and I compare it to the presentation we got on the work that was being done in regards to our housing needs analysis and in regards to our middle housing work, there seemed to be a lot of gaps.

For me, there were gaps around accessibility, language just I mean, presentation. You had to be at the farmer's market on a certain Saturday to be exposed to something, which I felt was really, really limiting. Are we tracking?

If I may?

Of course, you may.

This website is run by whom?

The Department of Land and Conservation, DLCD. It's operated by the state of Oregon.

Okay. The gap is occurring where?

What gap?

Between the website and what the housing committee recommendation was or what?

The gap that I'm seeing is specifically and just when we develop a contract for someone to do work for us, there doesn't seem to be any kind of... When we asked about it before there wasn't any kind of built-ins or strategies identified for special populations. My--

Is that with the website?

What?

I'm confused here. I'm sorry.

I'm confused too.

Is it the website that doesn't seem to be having a strategy? Doesn't seem to be having a goal?

No. It's the work that's being provided and produced for the City that we're going to base our 20-year comprehensive plan on.

That's from the consultant?

Well, it's going to be influenced by work provided by a consultant. Yes. That had 33 sample responses. Remember that?

All right. Then your concern is the consultant may not be reaching out and filling some of these gaps?

Not specific. Generally, yes. Yes. There was no language offering and the online survey, it was English only.

For the one in nine Oregonians who don't speak English, they can't do that survey. For the Oregonians who don't have internet, they can't do that survey. For the people who live in Grants Pass who for disability or some other issue can't get online, they can't do the survey.

Who formulated the contract? Where that is at J circle or whatever you called it? The consultants?

The contract was prepared by DLCD staff. The agreement for the exchange of money is between DLCD, the state of Oregon, and 3J Consulting. We have a separate inter-governmental agreement with DLCD that basically says, "Hey, thank you for basically hiring these consultants on our behalf. We're the beneficiaries of the work. We agree to play," and that's what that's it is.

There's not a fiduciary obligation if you will, between the City of Grants Pass and CLCD. That's between the consultant that we applied for it and they basically hired the consultant on the City's behalf.

The contract is technically between... We do have an agreement and I think to Commissioner Aviles's point, if the City wanted to be more assertive and more proactive with the public outreach piece, I certainly think that according to goal one and to other public outreach standards, that the consultants would incorporate that, but as you said that did not happen.

In this case, if the contract doesn't contain a section that says here is exactly how you're going to. Now, when there is public outreach built into it, but that public outreach is through this forum, and it's through the City Council, and it's through the website. Yeah.

Yeah.

I have some more feedback with this. My experience at the county is here's an example. Is our transportation system plan was just updated. On our behalf, ODOT (Oregon Department of Transportation) reached out with some kind of an RFP (Request for Response) to different consultants throughout the state. This did not go through a public process.

That tells me and that ODOT has been doing this for years. This tells me that maybe there's no mechanism for public involvement in these seeking of consultants for work. I could be wrong, but there was no public process during that time that I'm aware of... Nope, that's not what you're talking about.

No, no. You're speaking to a different point, but I hear what you're saying. My issue is not with our consultant. That's not my issue. What I wanted to talk about today was the Public Involvement Process Checklist, which is on the DLCD's website and I'm referencing work that we're having done that this relates to.

What I'm speaking to is just some areas that I wanted to bring attention to. Specifically, let me just speak to the checklist because I feel like it went off the rails somewhere.

Looking at this checklist and again, if anyone has a computer, or a phone or whatever, everyone's usually on, you can Google this and I can email it to you guys or whatever.

There's a preliminary preparation strategy or activity. They're supposed to scan for the environmental justice populations. Those are identified by an act that was passed in 1994 under Clinton. I don't remember hearing anything about any environmental justice populations. Maybe I missed it. Moving through it though, there's other parts in the public involvement planning phase.

They're supposed to be... Let me find it. Here it is. "Describe the specific tools that will be used to inform and engage each stakeholder group. Including culturally and linguistically appropriate methods for each audience." My issue is, I don't think there was any work around culture, or language, or disability, or anything and maybe I'm wrong. Again, my issue is not with our consultant. My issue is with not saying anything about an area I think we're lacking in.

Moving on through this document, there's a whole nother page where it talks about the informing stage. That there's a bunch of tools that should be used. In the engaging stage, there's another bunch of tools that should be used.

Specifically under engaging, translation services. I'm under the impression we didn't use translation services. Why not? Do we not think that there are not people who don't just speak English? That's what my issue is.

I'm just referencing this checklist and I wanted to talk just about this issue, but I didn't realize maybe I should've prepared a better kind of I guess presentation or something like that.

I don't know if anyone has got any feedback at that point, but I wanted to bring that up because it seemed like there are some inherent things that should be done and so then when there's no specific provision about doing it, I get that, but there's this in the background.

How come this wasn't really used? Or if it was, could we expand upon it because it seems really limited? That's my soapbox. That's what I wanted to talk about.

Mr. Nelson.

I understand your concern. When I was on Council for many years, ADA issues for example, which encompasses language barriers, et cetera, a lot of barriers is a big deal. Perhaps that's something the City Council may need to address through the state with the contract and so forth to be sure those issues were addressed, but I'm not sure what you want this Commission to do?

Do you feel these shortcomings are on the part of DLCD, or City staff, or a combination of the two?

I couldn't speak to other... I don't know. I guess what my issue is just maybe the centering of other people's needs are not necessarily things that are happening. I just want to bring attention to it.

I know people who don't have the internet, so I know that there are people who can't do the survey. I know people who don't speak English in this community. I know people who can't take the survey. Unless someone's going to sit there and translate the survey for them, it doesn't happen.

I don't know. I'm not saying there's some agenda to not do it, but I'm just saying maybe we're having some blind spots. This is meant to be constructive and I'm hoping that we can work towards it.

To Mr. Nelson's comment, what do I want us to do about it? I think we have an obligation to do something, whether it's a motion to City Council or whatever. I think we have an obligation to do something because in terms of community involvement, we should be supporting that and we should be doing what we can to cultivate that and promote that in what we can.

Whether it's talking about it to an empty room, who knows, maybe a million people will listen to it on VOD, I don't know, but I'm just saying I would just like us to bring more attention that we could do I think a bit better. We can't expect the bigger places to do it for us and I know that it takes funding and I know that that's a thing, but hey, we can find money for lots of things. I think we can find money for including everyone in the community so that we can meet goal one. That's all I'm saying.



Could we put Commissioner Aviles in direct contact with Labombard to work this out? Is that an appropriate mechanism? Is that one of his functions?

I can answer that. I've already contacted them.

Oh, you've contacted Josh Labombard?

I didn't contact Josh directly. I actually contacted the CIAC because I wanted to talk to them because we have a representative. For our area, there's a person who represents our area.

That's Josh.

No, it's not Josh.

It's not?

Josh's staff. I'm talking about representation on the Community Involvement Advisory Committee.

Oh.

There's a volunteer, a lovely woman who represents us in this CIAC. So she definitely engages with Josh, but it's not Josh.

I reached out to them because I was hoping that they could talk to us and maybe give us information as to how we could do better and that's when I found the checklist because they're like, "Oh, well there are tools to do better." And then I was like, "Oh, well then why aren't we using these tools? We don't need to remake the wheel here. It's already done." Yeah.

I believe her name is Shantell. They're in talks with having someone come out to us. They included the consultant because again, he's the chair of the Community Involvement Advisory Committee. He's already actually in that conversation, but I haven't heard anything since because they're recovering from I guess, a really big deficit last year and so they don't really have the staff.

Say something on it?

Commissioner Arthur.

I think the structure still exists. I haven't checked in the last several years, but DLCD has an entire structure set up to support goal one and it's involves CICs, which are Citizen Involvement Committees and CACs, which are Citizen Advisory Committees.

There used to be a map out on the county website of the 19 Josephine County CACs and they're at one point were active and these particular CACs are geographical not, I don't know what the word would be topical.

The City has long maintained that they are fulfilling the CAC requirement by having committees like the bikes, and pathways, and subject type committees, not geographical committees. To my knowledge, I don't know if they ever had the geographical ones, but the county did.

They were charged with being responsible for citizen involvement, that was their name and their title and it gradually wore down to practically nothing. The county went out of its way back about 10 years ago to kill off the one in Williams. [crosstalk 00:20:16]

They are the last one still surviving.

No. The last one was Lower Applegate out in Wilderville.

Williams is still going strong. At least they were as of two years ago.

Oh, okay. Well, I was asked by the Commissioners to attend one of the meetings in Wilderville when they were still active and they were pairing up with some other citizen action things, but I haven't gotten any notices recently from them.

There was also a very active group in North Valley in Hugo led by Mike Walker and they were really trying to do the citizen involvement thing. At that point, and this is a long time ago, our Commission was put in charge of being the CIC to satisfy this legal requirement for this function. We're supposed to turn in a yearly report and we haven't turned in a yearly report in many years that I know of, but we're still on the books as being the Citizen Involvement Committee for [crosstalk 00:21:33] all that.

DLCD.

I don't know if anybody wants to take up the cause. Actually was a pretty good idea and, and got a lot of community involvement, kind of served as a centerpiece for the geographical areas.

I got pulled in by Mike Walker to work on it and I really thought that it could serve the City well to have the geographical divisions probably smaller than what do they call them? The boards.

If you had a geographical committee for basically each elementary school area, it would divide up the population and provide a center point for having meetings and stuff. Then when your cell towers and things come along, you have a place to handle that kind of thing, but the whole system pretty much died here in Josephine County to my knowledge. You think the one in Williams is still?

They were as of two years ago. We were working on a project with them. No, I haven't heard from them for those two years, but that was the last one I remember talking to.

Well, I can say from staff perspective that, the best long range plans and sometimes as you pointed out cell towers and other neighborhood specific plans too, I mean, you get to the best product by bringing in the most people, but it's always a bandwidth, staffing bandwidth issue because good, effective outreach, as you pointed out, it takes a lot of time.

It takes a point person who's managing it to make sure that the notices get translated. They get sent out to the right people. That the surveys get out to the right... You can do the work, but then in order to be effective, you actually have to do the outreach.

I'm not making excuse because in my year here as director, we just haven't had the staff. We wouldn't have been able to do it anyway. I think that you can as a Commission make a motion to the Council. There is a comprehensive plan, the adopted comprehensive plan, I believe speaks to the history that Commissioner Arthur was just talking about.

Just pointing that out. I mean, that is one avenue to sort of elevate this to the Council if you want to see more discussion around it. That's one way to do it. Right now, the Council, they just last month, changed their procedure for how they handle motions.

They no longer go to Council meetings on Wednesday nights. All motions from Commissions and committees go straight to a workshop. There is a dedicated 10 to 15 minutes from each committee or Commission that you can talk about the motion at a workshop and have that more informal dialogue. It's not just read, which is way it has been to date. That changed the first time this coming Monday will actually be the first workshop where that change has happened.

What I'm hearing is, once you get a real focus on what this looks like, you could appear, Commissioner Aviles could appear for the Commission to talk about this effort before the Council because it doesn't sound like staff has... You could go directly to City Council on a Monday workshop.

Yeah. I mean, I could do that for sure. I don't think I was thinking that far actually. I know I wanted to talk about it today, right. I guess that could be something that happens.

I think more than anything, it was just this kind of as I looked around at things, it was like, okay, hey, there, there are things that should make some of this easier.

I hear what you're saying, director Clark around capacity, and staffing, and prioritization. I totally get that, but I also, from a social scientist perspective, recognize that the data you collect is going to influence everything else. If the data itself is bad, then all the other work is pointless too.

When we had that presentation and they said that literally, I think it was like 33 or less than 50 is what I would say. Less than 50 in a community of 38,000 people is not adequate. If this was a research project, even at a graduate level, that would not be acceptable.

I know I had questions at that time about sampling size and significance and they talked about the trends and things like that, and I understand all that. I'm just saying it doesn't make any sense to gather faulty data at the sake of the work when you're basing all your other work on it. That was just my point.

Again, my issue is not with a consultant or anything like that. It's just more of a general, I'd like to keep it an awareness or bringing awareness to the need for this. I know that the City is talking at the higher level about some sort of, I don't know if it's the equity, diversion, and inclusion stuff that I'm seeing other places, but I know that there's talk around some sort of thing at the City level because of a very obvious gap.

At the county level, I just want to speak to it. We're losing lawsuits where we don't have... What is it those days campsites that are ADA accessible. It's 2021 and people are planning trips flying, across country, getting to Indian Mary, and figuring out that they can't get their wheelchair into their campsite. I just feel like there are people that are being forgotten and I just want to bring it up.

There's this checklist I'd love to just can this just be something that someone asks at some time of like, "Hey, which of these did you do?" Or something like that. Just so that there can be some kind of thing.

I mean because this says that there should be something about that. This says that there should be things that they're doing in the preliminary prep. In terms of project management, when you draft a project, most of your work is on the front end and everything else plays out through execution.

I don't want to be a negative person. I'm not trying to... I just had a soda before I came in here. I'm feeling the sugar. I apologize, but I've been thinking about this for a really long time. Then I found the checklist and then it's the same person. I'm like, "Like what?" I'm just like, come on.

That's just something I wanted to bring to the table. I would just hope that we can do that. I hear to Commissioner Arthur's point about the CACs and the CICs. Those are great. I'd love to do that. I'd love to support that, however I can, but I also recognize that the institution of the City has an obligation as well. If they're not mindful about the things that they're collecting, it doesn't matter if a volunteer helps a bunch of people work through an application or something online. I just wanted to bring that up and that the tools are there. I would hope we could.

Would you be willing to email that to me?

Yeah. Can I just hand it to you?

Oh sure.

I happened to print two copies. I don't know why only two.

Absolutely.

Yeah. I can do that.

Commissioner Arthur.

I had emailed to you Brad, that section from the ORS about... Let me get the right terminology here. Continuances.

I think a time like this would be a good time to just take a minute and review what it says. So there's no misunderstanding or different understandings about when an applicant or a party to a case requests a continuance and what happens. What the choices and that it is pretty much automatically granted. It's not an issue of whether the chair can grant the continuance or not. The code says shall.

I learned this at a county planning Commission meeting just the other night. If somebody requests a continuance, the chair shall continue.

Yes. The difference between the county and the City is the City doesn't have its own definition as to who's a party. The county used to, I don't know if they still do. It was a huge point of contention years ago about who was a party. Who could or could not allot request a continuance.

Just clarification. Say a party requesting or an individual requesting continuance, it would be someone other than the Commission members?

Yeah.

Yeah.

[inaudible 00:30:38].

Right. I mean, if I, on the Commission, wished to look at a continuance, I would have to move that motion. You understand what I'm saying?

Yeah.

Okay.

[inaudible 00:30:54]. The last one [inaudible 00:30:58].

Right.

Requested. If I understand correctly, if the applicant requested the amount of time they request to continue gets added to the 120 days endpoint, is that correct?

I think they have to be specific to the 120 days. I don't know that it's automatically just tacked on [crosstalk 00:31:21].

I think it is. I think [crosstalk 00:31:22] it says that in the thing, but that's only if the applicant themselves requested it.

Yeah.

Yeah. I have no background on that specific adding the time to the 120 days. In the case of the county that I just witnessed Monday night, it was 1000 Friends of Oregon who requested the continuance and they requested seven days.

Who's doing that now?

Who's doing the 1000 Friends thing?

Yeah.

I don't know who it was. It was an attorney.

Oh, okay never mind.

I forget his name.

Does anybody else have anything that they would like to discuss? Commissioner Aviles.

Thank you.

In the future, I will email before meetings if I have matters, just so that folks can have an idea of time. I don't want to go too long. This week, I didn't do that, but I'll do it next time.

Something I did want to bring up, there was something that we had been provided. It was the Development Permit Applications 2020 and we got this I want to say at the beginning of this year. It's like an Excel and it has all the different things in each month it has numbers of which things were done, which weren't.

I was just curious, looking at this, this is from 2021 and being mindful about our housing needs here. I was looking at things like the PUDs (Planned Unit Developments) and also just the other kinds of developments. I was wondering, is there any way we could get a mid-year update or will this just have to wait for another six months to kind of get an idea of how we did this year or anything like that?

Oh, no. We do them every month. However often you want to see them, we're happy to give them.

Yeah, if we could see it, maybe just whenever you guys have time. So we can just see where we're at mid-year.

I think again, another thing to bring awareness to is what's being built this month and just kind of bringing that to attention or what's not being built, lack thereof.

Do you have an email list that you send that to every month?

No. No. That's more of just kind of a tracking tool. I'll have to check if it's on the web or not, but it's not a routine kind of email group that gets it.

Yeah. It was just an attachment to an email, but sometimes I go through and just see what... Because we get so much information. Sometimes you go through it and you're like, "What was that? Let me read that again."

This was from, I think January. I can forward the email so you can see what I'm referring to.

Sure.

Yeah.

Can she forward that to all of us or is that a quorum issue?

It's better for staff to send things. I mean, yeah. We'll prepare that. That's a great idea. I mean, we can just do it on a mid-year regularly.

Thank you.

Thank you great [crosstalk 00:34:10].

It's not any more work for us. We update it every month, so no problem.

Thank you so much. And that's all I have. Thank you so much.

Any other Commissioners have anything they would like to bring up before this quorum that we have here? Staff, anything else?

This coming Wednesday City Council meeting they're holding their public hearing on the adult-use ordinance that you forwarded on. Just so you know.

They're also having their continued hearing on the multi-dwelling ordinance, the solar ordinance, the one that has the general commercial taking the single-family out. They already had that first public hearing, but this is the continued hearing on Wednesday night. I just wanted to let you know those two items that you've been working on are going to Council on Wednesday night.

Monday, OLCC (Oregon Liquor Control Commission), I think Commissioner Nelson did quite a bit of research on that there. There's two representatives, investigators for OLCC are coming to Council for their workshop to basically just explain how the whole minor posting thing works, and how they enforce it, and how their investigators do their job. That could be interesting for you.

Is that mid-day?

That's the 11:45, yeah. Yeah.

How soon do they post that? Is it the next day? Do they post it online?

It's within... Yeah. It's typically 24 to 48 hours. Maybe 48 sometimes.

It's also TV broadcast that evening, like either 6:00 or 7:00 or sometimes Monday evening.

Yeah. [crosstalk 00:35:48].

I think they have a YouTube channel now. [crosstalk 00:35:50].

Broadcast on TV?

YouTube channel, right?

Yeah.

YouTube.

Yeah, it's both. We started doing YouTube just last month, I think maybe, yeah.

Before that it was some cable channel, right?

The closed circuit, yeah. That the Southern Oregon University does.

Yeah. Yeah. RCC (Rogue Community College), right?

183.

Channel 183.

Is that spectrum 183?

Is that on spectrum 183?

Yep. The beautiful thing about YouTube is you can put that at two times speed and you're done in half the time and you can comprehend it. You have to pay a little closer attention, but at two times speed, you get used to. Okay. All right. We're out of here. Bye.

Thank You. How much pop do I have to drink?

Well, ask Commissioner Aviles. You'll know, but I've done the same thing with Mountain Dew. Don't do it. I don't recommend it.

Anything else staff?

I think the only thing I had here, we did submit another technical assistance request to DLCD. Speaking of that topic regarding house bill 2003, which is called the Housing Production Strategy and Housing Implementation Plan.

We don't know if we will get it, but we did submit that and we got confirmation that they're reviewing it and then we'll have to submit a more detailed explanation by June 30th. That's ongoing. We'll just keep you updated on that.

Can I just speak to that? Has anyone read some of the documents the ORS is on some of that stuff? There's an email. I can't forward it. I can't forward emails to the group. If it's not City produced, I can share it right?

One at a time.

One at a time.

If you blind copy everybody you'll [inaudible 00:37:37].



Well actually as long as you stay away from a quorum, you could email it to us three at a time.

It's okay for quorum. It just goes into the public record right? It's an email. I don't mind [crosstalk 00:37:49] it's public record.

Yeah. You're certainly not going to get in trouble for that. I think it's more about the attorney's concern is creating a habit.

Oh, okay.

You just start doing it and then do it. I think it's fine.

Yeah. I don't want to break any rules. I just need to know them. I mean, I can print stuff out and share it to everyone or I can share it beforehand. Whatever is good. I don't want to run a foul, but there was something, I don't know if it came from our City or regional, like a nearby adjacent City, but they were providing a lot of the statutory information and it is so interesting you guys.

I really want to share it. I thought I printed it out, but I guess I didn't bring it with me. Maybe I'll bring it to the next one if it's a timely thing. Since it is applying us, but the statutes are very specific and it will make things very clear and consistent very quickly, which I guess my question to some of that was just if the statutes are so specific, is there really anything... Oh, you know what it was?

It said that we had a certain... Sorry about that. We had a certain date... Sorry. We had a certain date to develop our own code. I think it's like June 2022 and if we don't, then a model code gets implemented. Is that correct?

Right.

The model code, do we have plans to take sections of the model code and just accept it? Or do we, when I say we, I mean the City, and I don't mean that in some planned way, but I mean this group.

When it talked about the just implementation of a model code, should we not be able to do it or do anything at all? I was curious about that model code. I think it's 55 pages. I was going to ask you guys to print it out for me, but I think that was one of the things that caught my eye. If we don't get it done ourselves, a model code will be implemented for us.

Right. Right, but we have full intention of completing our own and not having to use the model code.

Okay. Were there any sections of the model code that we wanted to use?

Yeah. No, I think there's some. You're talking about the middle housing?

Yes.

Yeah. Yeah. There's definitely pieces of that have been incorporated in.

Yeah, your public hearing will probably be in October. So yeah.

There are pieces of that model code that 3J and the consultant have taken in, but it's definitely more Grants Pass centric.

Okay. That was just very interesting. Thank you.

That was it for me.

Anything else Commissioners? 6:42 meeting adjourned.

**Meeting adjourned: 6:42 pm.**

**Next meeting: July 14, 2021**



Eric Heesacker, Acting Chair  
Urban Area Planning Commissioner



Date

Minutes transcribed by www.rev.com and given a cursory review/edit by Julia Wright, City of Grants Pass Administration.